

JUL 31 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ALMA ROSA SOLORZANO,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-71837

Agency No. A75-700-795

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 24, 2006^{**}

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Alma Rosa Solorzano, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order affirming without

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

opinion an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying her application for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the agency's discretionary determination that Solorzano failed to show exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to her United States citizen son. *See Martinez-Rosas v. Gonzales*, 424 F.3d 926, 929-30 (9th Cir. 2005).

To the extent Solorzano contends the BIA's summary affirmance procedure violated her right to due process, the contention is foreclosed by *Falcon Carriche v. Ashcroft*, 350 F.3d 845, 851 (9th Cir. 2003) (holding that the Board's affirmance of an IJ's decision without issuing an opinion does not violate due process).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.